

1 **, EYMANN ALLISON HUNTER JONES, PS**

2 By: Richard C. Eymann, WSBA #7470
3 2208 W. 2nd Avenue
4 Spokane, WA 99201
5 (509) 747-0101 Phone / (509) 568-5977 Fax
6 eymann@eahjlaw.com

7 **LOWTHORP, RICHARDS, McMILLAN,**
8 **MILLER & TEMPLEMAN**

9 John H. Howard, Bar #91027
10 300 Esplanade Drive, Suite 850, Oxnard, California 93036
11 (805) 981-8555 Phone / (805) 983-1967 Fax
12 jhoward@lrmmmt.com

13
14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
16 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**

17 ERIC WRIGHT, INDIVIDUALLY
18 AND IN HIS CAPACITY AS
19 PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE
20 OF THE ESTATE OF STEVEN O.
21 WRIGHT; AND, AMY SHARP,
22 INDIVIDUALLY,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 THE UNITED STATES OF
26 AMERICA; MEDFORD
CASHION, M.D.; STAFF CARE,
INC.,

Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-00305-TOR

DECLARATION OF
BRONWEN O'NEILL IN
OPPOSITION TO UNITED
STATES' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1
2
3 BRONWEN F. O'NEILL, MSN RN-BC CMSRN PCCN NREMT swears under
4 penalty of perjury as follows:

5 1. I am over the age of 18 years and competent to testify to the matters
6 stated herein, and I make this Declaration based on personal knowledge.
7

8 2. The opinions expressed in this preliminary report are based on the
9 accepted standard of care for nurses in the State of Washington and all of my
10 findings are on a more probable than not basis. My opinions are based on my
11 experience, both teaching and practicing, as a nurse in Washington State. My
12 curriculum vitae is attached. I have reviewed the following records:
13

- 14
15 - Steven Wright's Mann-Grandstaff VA Medical Center medical records
16 from August 2, 2014(Spokane VAMC);
17 - Dr. Shea McManus' deposition transcript;
18 - Dr. Medford Cashion's deposition transcript;
19 - Elizabeth Ford's deposition transcript;
20 - Carla Linton's deposition transcript;
21 - Jill Palmer's deposition transcript;
22 - Matt Haugen's deposition transcript;
23 -Steven Wright's autopsy report;
24 -Robert Ready's deposition;
25 -Dr. Kimberly Morris' deposition
26

3. If additional relevant information becomes available, I reserve the
right to revise my analysis and opinions.

\\

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

4. On approximately July 27, 2014, Steven Wright ("SW") fell down outside his home and onto his left knee which injured that knee. At the time of his fall, SW was taking Warfarin (an anticoagulant blood thinner) for chronic atrial fibrillation (Spokane VAMC pg. 18)¹. Approximately one week later, on August 02, 2014, a friend drove SW to the Mann-Grandstaff VA Medical Center (Spokane VAMC pg. 25). According to VA medical records, SW presented to the Emergency Department with severe anasarca/edema, purplish discoloration and extensive ecchymosis in his left leg with 9/10 pain in that leg (Spokane VAMC pg. 16, 21). Dr. McManus, the attending ER physician, ordered x-rays of SW's chest and left leg. SW was then transferred into a wheelchair and his friend then transported him to the radiology department for a weight-bearing x-ray of his right knee but not of the left knee (Spokane VAMC pg. 25 33). Reasoning was not provided, but there was documentation that the patient was non-weight bearing on his injured left leg.

5. Dr. McManus ordered a Protime and International Normalized Ratio ("PT/INR"), which revealed that his INR was at a subtherapeutic level of 1.5 (Spokane VAMC pg 46). SW's INR level and his leg injury made Dr. McManus

¹ Excerpts from the medical records referenced in this Declaration are attached as Exhibit "A" to the Declaration of Richard C. Eymann.

1 concerned about a possible deep vein thrombosis ("DVT"). (McManus Dep. Pg.
2 33). Dr. McManus ordered a 5mg oral dose of Warfarin and a 100mg
3 subcutaneous injection of enoxaparin (an anticoagulant blood thinner) which
4 Nurse Palmer administered (Spokane VAMC pg 17). He arranged for SW to be
5 transported to Holy Family Hospital by ambulance on a stretcher to have an
6 ultrasound performed of SW's left leg to determine if SW had a DVT. When SW
7 returned from Holy Family Hospital Dr. McManus' shift had ended and ER
8 physician Medford Cashion assumed SW's care. Dr. Cashion diagnosed SW as
9 having a strain and contusion to his left knee (Spokane VAMC pg. 13). He
10 discharged SW with a prescription for hydrocodone to use as necessary for the
11 pain (Spokane VAMC pg. 17). Dr. Cashion instructed SW to continue to ice his
12 knee and to use his knee immobilizer and his crutch(es) as the patient had been
13 up in the ER walking with one crutch (Spokane VAMC pg. 16).

14
15
16
17
18
19 6. According to Nurse Linton's deposition, she was at the nurse's
20 station when SW was discharged. Nurse Linton stated that she offered to escort
21 SW to his transportation in a wheelchair, but SW declined her offers and
22 ambulated out of the Emergency Department on Canadian crutches without any
23 assistance. (Linton Dep. pg. 14-15). SW fell in the hospital parking lot and hit his
24 head on a wheelchair return rack or the pavement or both sometime before his
25
26

1 transportation arrived (Spokane VAMC pgs 1, 9). The fall caused an observable
2 abrasion and/or laceration to SW's forehead. Nurse Haugen testified he was
3 going off shift and exiting the hospital when he saw SW leaning up next to the
4 wheelchair rack. He concluded SW was injured and put him in a wheelchair and
5 wheeled him back inside the emergency department.
6
7

8 7. In the ER Nurse Ford and Dr. Cashion examined and treated SW for
9 his head contusions. At some point during this treatment, Nurse Linton walked
10 past the examining room and noticed the abrasion of SW's forehead. Nurse
11 Linton testified that she went through the "chain of command" to Nurse Ford,
12 who was also acting as the "Charge Nurse," and asked Nurse Ford if SW needed
13 a CT scan because she was concerned about him suffering a head injury while on
14 the blood thinner Warfarin (Linton Dep. pg 41-42). Nurse Ford testified that she
15 also believed, in her professional opinion, that SW needed a CT scan and/or to be
16 observed overnight. (Whitley-Ford Dep. pg. 53, 55, 62-63). However, Nurse Ford
17 only asked Dr. Cashion about doing further testing. She admits she did not advise
18 Dr. Cashion that SW needed additional testing. (Whitley-Ford Dep. pg. 55, 70).
19 Nurse Ford also stated that she did not go to anyone higher up in the chain of
20 command, such as the nursing supervisor or the chief of staff, to advocate for the
21 care she thought SW should have had. (Whitley-Ford Dep. pg. 55-56, 71).
22
23
24
25
26

1 intravenous Lasix (a diuretic) would likely have made an improvement in his
2 shortness of breath.
3

4 10. All of SW's healthcare providers were capable of making
5 accommodations for escorting SW safely upon discharge. When x-rays were
6 ordered of his leg and chest, Nurse Palmer had SW transferred into a wheelchair
7 and a friend escorted him to the x-ray suite. (Spokane VAMC pg. 25). When Dr.
8 McManus ordered a doppler ultrasound of his left leg, SW was transferred to a
9 stretcher to be transported in an ambulance. (Spokane VAMC pg. 28). However,
10 Nurse Linton did not make similar accommodations for him when he was
11 discharged, and SW ambulated out of the Emergency Department alone on
12 crutches. Even though Nurse Linton was concerned that SW was a fall risk, and
13 stated that she had escorted other patients to their transportation, Nurse Linton
14 did not strongly encourage, or use her authority as a nurse to convince SW to be
15 escorted in a wheelchair, or ask that he remain in the ER until his transportation
16 arrived. (Linton Dep. pg. 15,17-18). Nurse Linton did not accompany SW to his
17 transportation on discharge either. Nurse Linton merely offered to get SW a
18 wheelchair, and then allowed SW who had difficulty ambulating, 9/10 left knee
19 pain, knee stiffness, and bipolar disorder, decide whether he needed assistance.
20 (See Spokane VAMC pg. 9, 28).
21
22
23
24
25
26

1 11. While nurses cannot force patients to consent to treatment, a nurse
2 exercising reasonable care would have perceived SW ambulating out to his
3 transportation alone as being risky and potentially unsafe and used her authority
4 as a healthcare provider to assist the the patient with safe accommodations for
5 him to get to his transportation. Even though the records indicate that SW did not
6 follow all instructions, such as keeping his leg elevated on the stretcher, the
7 medical records do not indicate SW was a difficult patient or unreasonable
8 patient at all. The records indicate that SW was a compliant patient: he remained
9 at the hospital for many hours to receive treatment, stayed until his treatment was
10 completed (despite telling Nurse Ford he wanted to go home), agreed to be
11 transported via gurney to and from a separate facility miles away, and agreed to
12 be transported around the Emergency Department by wheelchair and stretcher.
13 Therefore, Nurse Linton should have been able to work with and convince SW of
14 a safe method of getting him to his transportation, either with a walking escort or
15 via wheelchair, if she used her authority and skills as a nurse for safe patient care.
16

17 12. Given SW's health conditions and mobility issues, Karla Linton's
18 actions fell below the acceptable standard of care on a more probable than not
19 basis in the following respects. Karla Linton's efforts were insufficient and she
20 should have done more than merely offer to take SW outside to his transportation
21
22
23
24
25
26

1 in a wheelchair. Nurse Linton should have used her skills and authority as a nurse
2 and persuaded SW to allow assistance via wheelchair or had him remain in the
3 ED until he could be assisted by the person who was arriving to take him home.
4 A reasonable nurse then would have determined if SW's transportation was
5 physically capable of accompanying and assisting him safely to the vehicle, and
6 if that person were not capable of assisting him, the nurse would personally
7 escort SW to his transportation or find another hospital employee who would
8 escort the patient.
9
10
11

12 13. In my opinion, based on a strong degree of medical certainty, SW
13 would not have fallen down in the parking lot if he had been properly assisted to
14 his transportation. Additionally, had Nurse Linton exercised her authority and
15 skills as a nurse and encouraged SW to allow assistance to his transportation, I
16 can say with a reasonable degree of medical certainty, he would likely would
17 have agreed to being safely escorted to his friend's vehicle.
18
19

20 **B. Elizabeth (Whitley) Ford, RN:**

21 14. It is my professional opinion that nurses have the responsibility of
22 being patient advocates and our nursing code of ethics requires this of us.
23 Patients are not necessarily knowledgeable about appropriate medical treatments
24 and can feel intimidated or embarrassed to ask for appropriate care or assistance.
25
26

1 Therefore, nurses have a duty to advocate for the appropriate care of a patient.
2
3 SW was a male veteran who lived independently and he was still walking, as best
4 he could, despite 9/10 left leg pain. On August 4, 2014, Nurse Whitley's actions
5 fell below the appropriate standard of care on a more probable than not basis,
6 because of her failures to advocate for SW's care. If a nurse is of the opinion that
7 a patient's safety may be in jeopardy or is in jeopardy because of a lack of care
8 that is being provided by a physician, the nurse should advocate for appropriate
9 care and must go up the chain of command to ensure the patient's care.
10
11

12 15. Nurse Ford was aware that SW had suffered a fall with injury to his
13 face and head while on Warfarin and Lovenox, and in her professional opinion,
14 SW needed a CT scan of his head. However, Nurse Ford neither advocated to
15 SW's physician, Dr. Cashion, to order a CT scan nor did she go through the
16 hospital's chain of command to advocate for SW to receive the diagnostic testing
17 required for his injuries. This patient suffered an obvious head trauma while on
18 anticoagulants and appropriate care was not provided and Nurse Ford's advocacy
19 efforts were minimal and insufficient. A head injury while on Warfarin can cause
20 life threatening injuries, such as intracranial bleeding, which is a very well
21 known risk. If a patient who is known to be taking anticoagulant medication fell
22 and had an impact to their head, it is treated as a very serious condition by
23
24
25
26

1 healthcare providers and is given immediate treatment because the uncontrolled
2 bleeding into and around the brain is a high risk of harm to the patient.
3

4 16. Nurse Ford needed to do more than ask Dr. Cashion about
5 performing additional testing. Nurse Ford needed to work with Dr. Cashion as a
6 patient advocate and explain why she thought further testing, including a CT scan
7 of the head, was warranted, and if Dr. Cashion did not agree, she needed to go up
8 the chain of command. In regards to her opinion that SW needed to be observed
9 overnight, she needed also to express that opinion to Dr. Cashion, because it is
10 her responsibility to advocate for SW's care. In my professional opinion, and
11 based on my personal experience, it is very likely that a patient will receive the
12 appropriate medical care and treatment if a nurse communicates with the
13 physician and then goes to her superiors in the chain of command when needed.
14 Therefore, I can say with a reasonable degree of medical certainty that if Nurse
15 Ford had gone up the chain of command and advocated for appropriate treatment,
16 SW would have received testing, such as a CT scan, as it was medically required
17

18 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that
19 the foregoing is true and correct.
20

21 DATED this 8th day of May, 2017, at Everett, Washington
22

23 
24

25 Bronwen F. O'Neill, MSN RN-BC CMSRN PCCN NREMT
26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 8, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following participants:

Rudy J. Verschoor

Rudy.J.Verschoor@usdoj.gov

Joseph Derrig

Joseph.Derrig@usdoj.gov

Amanda Thorsvig

amanda@favros.com

Scott O' Halloran

Scott@favros.com

s/Richard C. Eymann
RICHARD C. EYMANN